

Community Project Grants: \$2,000–\$10,000

Humanities Montana

General Information

Project Name

Character Limit: 100

Amount Requested

Character Limit: 20

Conflict of Interest Acknowledgement

Reviewer Conflict of Interest*

If you have a direct conflict of interest with this application, click the box below to recuse yourself. Do not answer any of the rubric questions, instead, return to your assigned evaluations and proceed to the next application.

Choices

Yes, I must recuse myself from ranking this application due to a conflict of interest.

No, I have no known conflicts of interest as a reviewer of this application.

Significance Assessment (20 points)

Please assess the project's significance for the proposed audience(s) and/or the broader Montana community.

**Please use the subaward scoring rubric to assess the following components.*

Evidence of Need*

How would you rate the project's responsiveness to a clearly defined community need?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Value/Benefit to the community*

How would you rate the project's capacity to provide benefit/value the proposed audience(s)?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Audience Representation*

How would you rate the project's demonstration of adequate knowledge and/or established rapport with the proposed audience?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Collaboration*

How would you rate the project's inclusion of collaborations or partnerships?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Additional Comments:

Character Limit: 1000

Strategic Alignment Assessment (30 points)

Is the proposed project aligned with the mission, vision, and current strategic goals of Humanities Montana? Will the project enrich lives through discussion and consideration of humanities ideas and topics humanities important to Montanans?

*Please use the subaward scoring rubric to assess the following components.

Humanities Content*

How would you rate the project's capacity to connect the public with humanities topics through its themes and activities?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Humanities Scholarship*

How would you rate the project's engagement of humanities scholars/experts in the development or implementation of its activities?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Public Engagement*

How would you rate the project's overall reach and relevance to Montana communities?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Innovation*

How would you rate the project's capacity to advance public humanities in Montana through innovative and transformative practices, content, and reach?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Multiple Perspectives*

How would you rate the project's use of multiple perspectives to interpret humanities content?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Priority Audience*

How would you rate the project's engagement of marginalized sectors and populations in Montana, specifically HM's priority audiences (Native/Youth/Rural)?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Additional Comments:

Character Limit: 1000

Quality Assessment (25 points)

Sustainability*

How would you rate the project's lasting benefit to Montana citizens and communities?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Please assess how well the project plan is specific, measurable, achievable, relevant, and realistic within the proposed period of performance.

*Please use the subaward scoring rubric to assess the following components.

Clear Goals*

How would you rate the clarity of proposed project outcomes, outputs, and activities?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Timeline*

How would you rate the clarity and organization of the proposed timeline?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Outreach & Marketing*

How would you rate the project's proposed outreach/marketing and co-branding efforts?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Measurement & Evaluation*

How would you rate the project's capacity to measure outcomes and evaluate performance?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Additional Comments:

Character Limit: 1000

Feasibility Assessment (10 points)

Please assess feasibility of this project.

*Please use the subaward scoring rubric to assess the following components.

Project Management*

How would you rate the project management structure and the capacity of project leadership and personnel to execute project activities?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Budget & Cost Share*

How would you rate the proposed budget?

(1) Poor – (3) Fair – (5) Good

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Additional Comments:

Character Limit: 1000

Recommendations, Comments & Questions (5 points)

Funding Recommendation*

Which level of support do you recommend?

5 = Full, unreserved support

4 = Full support with some reservation or stipulation

3 = Partial support

2 = Not certain

1 = No support

Scoring Options: 1 - 5

Overall Assessment*

What are the benefits or challenges of awarding or declining support for this project?

Character Limit: 1000

Follow-up Questions

What additional information do you need from staff or the applicant before making a formal award/decline decision?

Character Limit: 1000